

StatUS[™] Therapy Guide

NEW in Physical Therapy: StatUS[™] Therapy



Enraf-Nonius B.V. P.O. Box 12080 3004 GB Rotterdam The Netherlands Tel :+31-(0)10

 Tel
 : +31-(0)10 - 203 06 00

 Fax
 : +31-(0)10 - 203 06 99

 E-mail
 : info@enraf-nonius.nl

 Website:
 www.enraf-nonius.com



SONOPULS STATUSTM

Table of contents

StatUS Therapy 2013_40

1. Foreword	4
2. Hands free in control, bridging health care and science	5
3. Introduction	6
3.1. Effects of Ultrasound	6
5. General Characteristics of Ultrasound	9
6. Characteristics of an Ultrasound Bundle	10
6.1 Interference	10
7.2 Deflection	10
I.Z. Reliection	12
8. StatUS™ (Stationary Ultrasound) in detail	14
8.1. StatUS™ Therapy Devices	15
8.2. The Principle of StatUS [™] Therapy	17
10.2.1. Duty Cycle Modulation	18
10.1.2. Amplitude Modulation	19
11. Advantages of StatUS™ Therapy	20
12. Indications and Contraindications	21
12.1. Indications	21
14.2. Contraindications	22
 15. Protocols Protocol 1: Hypertonic Trapezius Muscle, upper part Protocol 2: Triggerpoints in Rhomboid Muscle, upper and lower part Protocol 3: Cervical Myofascial Pain Syndrome Protocol 4: Degenerative disc disease Protocol 5: Subacromial Bursitis Protocol 6: Subdeltoid Bursitis 	23 23 23 24 24 25 25 25
Protocol 7: Biceps Brachii Tendinitis, caput longum	26
Protocol 8: Biceps Brachil Muscle Calcification, caput longum Protocol 9: Pronator Teres Muscle Syndrome	20
Protocol 10: Medial Epicondylitis humeri	27
Protocol 11: Supraspinatus Tendinitis	28
Protocol 12: Triggerpoints Supraspinatus Muscle Protocol 13: Piriformis Muscle Syndrome, entrapment neuropathy	28
Protocol 14: Trochantor Major Bursitis	29
Protocol 15: Partial Rupture Gastrocnemius Muscle, medial part	30
Protocol 16: Collateral Ligament STRAIN INJURY, lateral / medial	30
Protocol 17: Corpus Hotta Inflammation (Hottitis) Protocol 18: Patella Tendinitis	31
Protocol 19: Sciatic Neuralgia (RADICULAIR ORIGIN)	32
Protocol 20: Meniscus Lesion	32
Protocol 22: Knee Synovitis / Capsulitis	33
Protocol 23: Pes Anserinus, Insertion Tendinitis	34
Protocol 24: Baker's Cyst	34
Protocol 25: Iliotibial Band Syndrome	35
Protocol 26: Tensor Fasciae Lata Syndrome	35
	50

NONIUS

Partner for Life

ENRAF-NONIUS SONOPULS STATUSTM

Partner for Life

ENRAF II NONIUS

Bone Healing (LIPUS) – Stress Fracture of the Tibia (Posterior) Protocol 28: Plantar Fasciitis Protocol 29: Morton's Neuralgia Protocol 30: Ankle joint STRAIN INJURY (TALOFIBULAR ANTERIOR LIGAMENT) Protocol 31: Talo Crural Arthrosis Protocol 32: Subtalar Arthrosis Protocol 32: Subtalar Arthrosis Protocol 33: Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Protocol 34: Wrist Fracture Protocol 35: Dupuytren's Contracture (Grades 1. 2.) Protocol 36: Haematoma Protocol 37: Temporomandibular Disorder (TMD) Protocol 38: Quadratus Lumborum Muscle Myalgia Protocol 39: Quadriceps Femoris Muscle Contusion Protocol 39: Quadriceps Femoris Muscle Contusion Protocol 40: Adductor Muscle, spartial rupture Protocol 41: Hamstring Muscles, partial rupture Protocol 42: Erector Spinae Muscle, strain injury Protocol 43: Shin splints Protocol 45: Abdominal Muscle, partial rupture Protocol 45: Abdominal Muscle, partial rupture Protocol 47 - 50: Lateral Epicondylitis, type I Protocol 48: Lateral Epicondylitis, type II Protocol 49: Lateral Epicondylitis, type II Protocol 49: Lateral Epicondylitis, type III Protocol 49: Lateral Epicondylitis, type III Protocol 49: Lateral Epicondylitis, type III Protocol 49: Lateral Epicondylitis, type II	36 37 37 38 38 39 39 40 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 42 43 43 43 44 44 45 45 46 47 50 50 51
Protocol 49: Lateral Epicondylitis, type II Protocol 50: Lateral Epicondylitis, type IV	50 51 51
Protocol 50: Lateral Epicondynitis, type IV Protocol 51: Achilles Tendon, partial rupture Protocol 52: Biceps Brachii Muscle, rupture	51 52 52
16. Literature	53

All rights reserved

© 2013 - Enraf-Nonius B.V.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, on a website or transmitted to any form or by any means, electronic, photocopy or recording otherwise, without prior written permission of the copyright holder.

This paper is intended for professional practitioners in the health care services. Before using the therapeutic recommendations and applications, it is essential that the practitioner is aware of the risks associated with the various applications.

The instructions in the user manual of the relevant machine must be strictly followed at all times.

SONOPULS STATUSTM



1. Foreword

This abridged therapy book is primarily meant as a reference for the use of stationary ultrasound (StatUS[™]). StatUS[™] stands for Stationary Ultra Sound. In other words: the application of ultrasound without moving the transducer (= stationary). StatUS[™] therapy is an innovative way of applying ultrasound in a stationary (static) manner that was invented by Enraf-Nonius in collaboration with international institutes. This is a quite new, unique method within the area of physical therapy. Normally, ultrasound energy is applied by moving ("making circles with") the transducer. This moving of the transducer (also called the dynamic or semi-stationary method) is necessary because some harmful effects occur both inside an ultrasound bundle as well as in the tissue that can result in tissue damage (so-called "hot spots"). For these reasons, treatment with a static transducer is almost never applied. In contrast, StatUS[™] therapy is a new treatment method that turns the disadvantages of the static application of ultrasound into advantages for both therapist and patient.

StatUS[™] therapy can be applied with the 6-series devices by Enraf-Nonius, provided that these are equipped with a StatUS[™] module. Contact your local Enraf-Nonius dealer for more information about the 6-series devices and available modules. For general information regarding ultrasound therapy, please refer to the "Ultrasound" therapy book (article number 1482.762). This therapy book can also be ordered through your local Enraf-Nonius distributor.



SONOPULS STATUSTM



2. Hands free in control, bridging health care and science

Over 60 years therapeutic ultrasound appears to be one of the most frequently applied modalities treating musculoskeletal and soft tissue disorders. Initially practised without unequivocal scientific proof, the introduction of evidence based medicine and the expansion of a scientific basis in physiotherapy contributed to substantial evidence of efficient and effective application of therapeutic ultrasound. To date, clinical physiotherapeutic evidence is based on solid scientific information as founded in clinical guidelines or original clinical studies. Initiation of such vital and robust information led to a turning point in the scientific platform and eventually in a justified choice of scientifically based clinical application of ultrasound therapy.

This transition appeared to be a valuable subject not unrecognised to rehabilitation industry. A revolutionary development of the therapeutic ultrasound application method. Where a dynamic application technique used to be inevitable in order to avoid possible tissue damage due to peak intensities, to date static ultrasound is a safe application opportunity. Changing the ultrasound intensity during treatment time prevents such undesirable intensity cumulation. Local ultrasound therapy by means of a vacuum pump mechanism using a non-liquid gel pad opens new possibilities applying therapeutic ultrasound on a specific and restricted treatment area.

This so called StatUS[™] therapy (Static Ultra Sound) represents an innovative method to apply therapeutic ultrasound in a standardised, stationary (static) manner. Developed by Enraf-Nonius it appears to be less labour intensive and physically aggravating, and, moreover, treatment parameters are highly reproducible. The constant perpendicular position of the fixed ultrasound transducer – without dosage variation as in dynamic ultrasound – ensures clinical effectiveness and patient safety.

This booklet provides a reference framework using StatUS[™] successfully and based on scientific evidence; thus bridging the essential liaison between health care and science. In conclusion, StatUS[™] makes a significant difference for the contemporary professional health care provider.

C. Lucas RPT, PhD, clinical epidemiologist Director Academic Master 'Evidence Based Practice' Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics Division Clinical Methods and Public Health Medical Faculty, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands



SONOPULS STATUSTM



3. Introduction

Ultrasound is one of the most well-known and applied forms of therapy in physical technology [35, 37, 38]. The energy is transmitted to the tissue in the form of a sound wave by means of a "head" or transducer. The frequency with which this occurs is typically between 0.8 and 3 MHz. Not all tissue absorbs the ultrasound energy to the same degree. The effectiveness therefore strongly depends on the type of tissue that is being treated. The greatest effect of ultrasound occurs in that tissue where the energy is absorbed in an efficient manner. This is connective tissue (collagen) with a high degree of denseness such as ligaments, tendons, fascia, joint capsules and scar tissue.

Although ultrasound has an effect on other tissue (for example, muscle tissue), the effect of ultrasound on an acute muscle rupture is less pronounced than the effect on an acute ligament injury. Knowledge of the type of tissue that is affected is of essential importance for the clinical decision-making process [51, 45, 33, 16]. In a recent study no significant effects could be demonstrated in the treatment of very acute muscle contusions [53, 28] while positive effects were observed in the treatment of ligament injuries [42, 44].

3.1. Effects of Ultrasound

Ultrasound can be applied both in a thermal as well as in a non-thermal manner (see the table on page 10). A thermal effect is in place if a temperature of 40-45°C is reached in the tissue, which must continue for at least 5 minutes [36]. Excessive thermal effects, which could particularly occur at higher levels of intensity, could damage the tissue [13]. The non-thermal effects of ultrasound (including cavitation and acoustic microcirculation) would play a more important role than the thermal effects in the treatment of soft spot injuries. Cavitation occurs when gas-filled bubbles alternately swell and compress in tissue fluids under the influence of pressure differences (caused by ultrasound). This gives rise to a current movement in the tissue of the surrounding tissue [21]. Due to this microcirculation the cell structure and permeability changes, which is considered as an explanation of the fact that ultrasound has a positive effect on wound healing.

There are two forms of cavitation: Stable (non-inertial) cavitation Unstable (inertial) cavitation

Non-inertial cavitation is the occurrence of stable bubbles which shrink and grow approximately evenly during compression and expansion. It is assumed that stable cavitation has a positive effect on the affected tissue. The microbubbles can also be instable, however, which is called inertial cavitation. These bubbles implode rather quickly, which causes many effects such as extreme increase of pressure and temperature. Instable cavitation can result in tissue damage [52]. Instable cavitation should be prevented by using pulsed ultrasound with a very short pulse duration.

SONOPULS STATUSTM



The thermal effect of ultrasound consists of heating the collagen tissue and is the easiest to reach through the use of continued ultrasound in combination with high intensity.

The non-thermal effects occur at lower energy levels and in pulsed mode and have cell "up" regulation as their objective. Non-thermal ultrasound is often used to speed up tissue recovery by optimizing the normal inflammation, proliferation and remodeling phase ^[32, 33, 51].

Treatment during the proliferative phase of wound healing results in improved recovery of the function ^[33].

Type of effect	Result
Thermal	Increased tissue flexibility/elasticity
	Improved circulation
	Pain modulation
	Triggers a mild inflammatory response
	Decreased joint stiffness
	Decreased muscle tension
Non-thermal	Cavitation
	Acoustic microcirculation
	The combination could possibly result in stimulation of fibroblast activity, in-
	creased protein synthesis, improved circulation, tissue recovery and bone heal-
	ing.

In order to speed up tissue recovery, ultrasound is applied very locally and in small doses. Use of low-intensity ultrasound is also referred to in the literature as LIUS (Low Intensity Ultra Sound) or LIPUS (Low Intensity Pulsed Ultra Sound).

Low-Intensity Ultrasound (LIUS or LIPUS).

Low-intensity ultrasound refers to the pulsed application of ultrasound with an average power of at most 0.1 W/cm² (100mW/cm²). This in contrast to an intensity of 0.5-3.0 W/cm² as is customary for traditional ultrasound ^[49].

SONOPULS STATUSTM



Table 1: Overview of various sorts of ultrasound and clinical application in humans

Type of ultrasound	Intensity	Mechanism of action	Clinical application
High intensity	5-300 W/cm ²	Increase in temperatureDestruction	 In surgery Fragmentation of calculi Excitation of tissue
Medium intensity	1 -3 W/cm ²	Increase in temperature	 Decrease of joint stiffness, pain and muscle spasms Increase of muscle mobility
Low intensity	1-50 mW/cm ²	 Not yet fully known Very minimal increase in temperature (<<1°C) Acoustic pressure differ- ences and permeability changes of the cell mem- brane appear to play a role 	 Non-invasive diagnostic of fetus, vital organs and bone Promotion of healing and recovery of open wounds, acute/subcutaneous inflam- mations, tendons, nerves and bone

Source: Korstjens et al. Effecten van lage-intensiteit ultrageluid op bot. Ned. Tijdschrift Tandheelkunde 2002; 109: 485-489 (Korstjens et al. Effects of low-intensity ultrasound on bone. Dutch Journal of Dentistry 2002; 109: 485-489).

Low-intensity ultrasound (1-50 mW/cm²) is primarily used in medicine for performing non-invasive diagnosis on a fetus or imaging vital organs or bone. In addition, low-intensity ultrasound also has a therapeutic effect. Tissue culture experiments as well as animal studies have shown that it has a clear stimulatory effect on bone growth. Furthermore, clinical studies have proven accelerated consolidation of fractures, among other things. In humans low-intensity ultrasound does not result in thermal and destructive effects ^[17, 18]. Low-intensity ultrasound also appears to speed up healing of open wounds as well as the recovery of tendons, nerves ^[6,7] and bones and promotes healing of acute and subcutaneous inflammations ^[31]. This theory is also supported by experimental research of the positive effect of low-intensity ultrasound on the healing of acute ligament injuries ^[44].

Recent research has shown that LIPUS has a positive effect on the recovery of connective tissue in general and on bone tissue in particular. Several RCTs (randomized controlled trials) have shown that low-intensity ultrasound can speed up the consolidation time of fractures of the tibia ^[18, 40], radius ^[25] and scaphoid ^[29] by about 30% to 38% (compared to treatment with placebo), which results in a significant gain in time ^[4].

In addition to a favorable influence on the healing process of acute bone fractures, low-intensity ultrasound has a facilitating effect on badly and non-consolidated fractures. In the group of non-consolidated fractures LIPUS still resulted in consolidation in 86% of the cases ^[30]. Although LIPUS certainly has the power to be used for treating total fractures, there is also much benefit to be gained from treating injuries in which tissue other than bone is affected. There are also indications that low-intensity ultrasound can be used for treating stress fractures ^[3].

The new StatUS[™] devices by Enraf-Nonius are excellently suited to be used as LIPUS (see treatment example)

SONOPULS STATUSTM



5. General Characteristics of Ultrasound

In the last decade various new ultrasound therapy devices have appeared on the market. Moreover, the importance of ultrasound frequency is highly stressed. The penetration depth of ultrasound energy should increase with decreased frequency. A lower penetration depth is associated with a limited transmission of energy, fast absorption of energy and a marked heating effect in relatively superficial tissue structures ^[46]. In contrast, a high penetration depth ensures efficient transmission of energy with little absorption resulting in little tissue heating. The choice of the correct ultrasound frequency (0.8 to 3 MHz) thus needs to be based on the desired penetration depth and the thermal and acoustic characteristics of the tissue into which the ultrasound energy is transmitted.

However, there are several variables that determine the ultrasound dose:

- Wavelength
- Intensity
- Amplitude
- Effective Radiation Area (ERA)
- Beam Non-uniformity Ratio (BNR)
- Mode: continuous or pulsed
- Contact medium
- Tissue composition
- · Movement and angle of the ultrasound transducer
- Frequency and duration of the treatment

The intensity peaks that occur at both 1 MHz and (although to a lesser degree) at 3 MHz can cause thermal and mechanical tissue damage. The highest intensity is measured in the middle of the ultrasound bundle (see chapter 3).

By moving the transducer in a calm manner the intensity peaks always occur at a different location. Moving the transducer – also called the *dynamic or semi-stationary method* – makes it possible to treat the area as evenly as possible. In addition, the chance of the occurrence of "hot spots" decreases considerably. Hot spots can be defined as local, relatively small areas that are excessively heated as a result of interference and reflection.

Based on the above-mentioned phenomena, treatment with a non-moving transducer (also called the *static or stationary method*) has almost never been used until now.

In contrast, StatUS[™] therapy is a new treatment method that turns the disadvantages of the static application of ultrasound into advantages for both therapist and patient.

SONOPULS STATUSTM



6. Characteristics of an Ultrasound Bundle

The aim of moving the transducer (the *dynamic or semi-stationary method*) is to decrease the chance of so-called "hot spots" from occurring. Treatment with a non-moving transducer (called the *static or stationary method*) could result in local, relatively small areas being heated excessively. These "hot spots" are the result of primarily two phenomena that occur both inside the ultrasound bundle as well as outside of it – in the tissue: *interference* and *reflection*.

6.1. Interference

There are two distinguishable zones in an ultrasound bundle (see figure 2):

- The near field: the Fresnel zone
- The far field: the Fraunhofer zone.



Figure 2: Lengthwise section of the ultrasound bundle

The near field (Fresnel zone) is characterized by:

- Interference in the ultrasound bundle through which strong variations in intensity can occur (see figure 3)
- The lack of divergence (in reality there is slight convergence).



Figure 3: Cross-section of the ultrasound bundle

SONOPULS STATUSTM



The far field (Fraunhofer zone) is characterized by:

- The almost total lack of interference signals, due to which the sound bundle is even and the intensity gradually decreases as the distance to the contact area of the transducer increases
- A larger cross-section of the ultrasound bundle
- A larger distribution of the sound energy, both by divergence as well (as because the intensity distribution becomes increasingly more bell-shaped) perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sound bundle.

The length of the near field depends on the diameter of the transducer and on the wavelength. With the usual transducer of 5 cm^2 the near field becomes about 10 cm long. With a transducer of 1 cm^2 the near field is about 2 cm long (at 1 MHz).

At 3 MHz the near field is 3 times as long, given that the wavelength becomes proportionally shorter. Because the depth effect of ultrasound is limited, the therapeutic effects primarily take place in the near field. Here one must realize that the ultrasound bundle exhibits interference signals in the Fresnel zone, which results in a nonhomogeneous sound bundle (see figure 4). These interference signals can result in intensity peaks that are 5-10 times (or in some cases even 30 times) higher than the set value.

The non-homogeneous behavior of the sound bundle is reflected by the variable Beam Non-Uniformity Ratio (BNR).



Figure 4: Bundle diagrams (1MHz and 3MHz ultrasound transducers)

SONOPULS STATUSTM



In theory the BNR value cannot be smaller than 4, in other words you must always take into account intensity peaks of at least 4 times the set value. With well-designed transducers the BNR is between 5 and 6.

For safe treatment, the traditional ultrasound transducer must always be moved about so that the ultrasound energy is reasonably distributed. Rotating the transducer at one location is discouraged.

7.2. Reflection

The effects of ultrasound are twofold: a mechanical effect (micromassage) and a thermal effect (heat).

The heating effect is a direct result of the micromassage of tissue. The quantity of heat that is created is unequal for the various tissues.

The heat particularly occurs at locations where the sound vibrations are reflected (such as with transitions from one tissue to the other).

When ultrasound enters the body, diffusion occurs: the ultrasound bundle will spread into directions other than the lengthwise direction of the sound bundle, initially through reflection but also through divergence in the far field (see figure 5). Reflection of some significance (approx. 30-35%) only occurs with tissue transitions to bone tissue.



Figure 5: Dispersion of the ultrasound bundle through reflection

SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

The entering and reflected ultrasound bundles can overlap each other, which creates two wave motions that can strengthen (interference) or weaken each other. Only in the case of strengthening through interference does this result in increased intensity of the sound bundle (see figure 6).



Figure 6: Interference through reflection

In practice, a problem only occurs if the tissue layer is thin up to the bone tissue or absorbs little ultrasound energy. This is the case, for example, with treatments around the wrist, the ankles and the patella.

Especially with continued application of ultrasound, this phenomenon leads to periosteal tingling in the form of heat sensation and/or pain. This once again proves the importance of moving the transducer. In addition, by applying the ultrasound energy in a pulsed format, the thermal effect can be reduced and the risk of periosteal tingling also decreases.

SONOPULS STATUSTM



8. StatUS[™] (Stationary Ultrasound) in detail

Normally, ultrasound energy is applied by moving the transducer (*dynamic or semi-stationary method*). This is required in connection with the phenomena that can lead to tissue damage ("hot spots"). In addition, dynamic ultrasound application is time-consuming and labor-intensive. StatUS[™] therapy, in contrast, is a new method of applying ultrasound *without moving the transducer* (= stationary). The therapist no longer even needs to hold the transducer. Such a "handsfree" treatment frees up a lot of time and makes treatments less cumbersome.



SONOPULS STATUSTM



8.1. StatUS[™] Therapy Devices

In order for stationary ultrasound to be applied, the transducer needs to be fixated in one manner or another. Because the StatUS[™] ultrasound transducer is built in to a special suction cup (the StatUS[™] applicator), the transducer can be placed on the body quickly and easily. The vacuum pressure can be adjusted as desired. The ATUS controller (Air-To-Ultrasound), where the ultrasound and vacuum come together, forms the connection between the Status[™] transducer and the StatUS[™] therapy device (see figure 8).



Figure 8: StatUS™ applicator and ATUS controller

To create good transfer of the ultrasound energy, a special gel pad is placed on the transducer (ordinary gel cannot be used because it is sucked in by the vacuum pump). The gel pad is kept in place by means of a fixation ring. Due to the vacuum pressure the transducer and gel pad perfectly connect on the body surface (see figures 9 and 10).



Figure 9: Contact surface when applying a traditional applicator and normal gel



Figure 10: Contact surface when applying a StatUS™ applicator and the special gel pad

SONOPULS STATUS[™]



StatUS[™] therapy is possible with 3 new 6-series devices:

- 1. Sonopuls 690 S (S = StatUS[™])
- 2. Sonopuls 692 S
- 3. Sonopuls 692V S

An individual StatUS[™] module is also available. This can be built in to existing 6-series devices in case these units already have an ultrasound module. The StatUS[™] module only works in conjunction with an ultrasound module. The transducer in the StatUS[™] applicator has an effective surface of 5 cm². The frequency for the ultrasound must be set to either 1MHz or 3MHz.



SONOPULS STATUSTM



8.2. The Principle of StatUS[™] Therapy

StatUS[™] treatment is a relatively simple treatment. The parameters that need to be set do not differ significantly from the known parameters for applying regular ultrasound treatment.

The parameters can be quickly and easily set from the operating menu of Sonopuls StatUS[™] devices. StatUS[™] therapy can be directly activated from the main menu (see figure 11), after which the parameter screen is accessed in 2 steps (see figure 12). This screen has many similarities with the "traditional" ultrasound therapy screen.



Figure 11: Main menu (Sonopuls 692 S) with StatUS™ therapy option

Sonopuls StatUS			3
Treatment	Time		08:00
Ultrasoun	d Applicator		В
Ultrasoun	d Frequency		3 MHz
Duty Cycle	9		20%
Duty Cycle	• Modulation		• (on)
Amplitude	Modulation		⊙ (on)
Pulse Free	luency		100 Hz
Units			W/cm ²
0:00 ■ 0.0 mA	■ 0:00 0.0 mA	B I 0.50 W/cm ²	08:00 0

Figure 12: Parameter screen for StatUS™ therapy

SONOPULS STATUSTM



The parameters for a StatUS[™] ultrasound treatment differ fr om a "traditional" ultrasound treatment by the addition of 2 (patented) modulation forms:

- 1. Duty cycle modulation
- 2. Amplitude modulation

These modulation forms ensure that the intensity peaks in the ultrasound bundle are greatly reduced, that the chance of cavitation decreases and that the occurrence of "hot spots" is combated. Modulation occurs automatically in a certain rhythm – which is partially determined beforehand (see § 4.2.1 and § 4.2.2).

10.2.1. Duty Cycle Modulation

The duty cycle can be described as the relationship between the pulse duration and the pause duration. The duty cycle is expressed in a percentage (%). If the duty cycle is 100%, then the device works in continuous mode.

Duty cycle modulation means that the duty cycle is automatically varied in a fixed rhythmic pattern. The modulation is characterized by a fixed duration of 12 seconds in total, during which the (previously) set duty cycle goes to 5% and then back again. So, if a duty cycle of 50% has been set, then it will gradually decrease from 50% to 5% in 6 seconds in order to then again increase from 5% to 50% in 6 seconds. In fact, this modulation ensures that the pulse duration is automatically decreased and then increased.



Figure 13: Duty cycle modulation (example of modulation at a selected duty cycle of 50%)

SONOPULS STATUSTM



10.1.2. Amplitude Modulation

Amplitude modulation is the varying of the ultrasound intensity. This form of modulation is also characterized by a rhythmic period of 12 seconds. The set intensity (W/cm²) equals 100%. In the first 6 seconds the intensity decreases from 100% to 85% in order to return to 100% in the following 6 seconds. Amplitude modulation has a fixed pattern and cannot be changed. This is in contrast to duty cycle modulation, where the duty cycle must be set to 5, 10, 20, 50, 80 or 100%.



Figure 14: Amplitude modulation (example of modulation at a set intensity of 1.0 W/cm²)

Both forms of modulation can be activated and deactivated independent of each other. This (de)activation takes place by selecting the desired function in the parameter screen (see figure 12). The (factory) default setting for both modulation forms is "modulation on."

When both modulations are activated, these run synchronously (in phase) and start "from high to low." This way the difference between the minimum and maximum of the effective capacity is the biggest.

SONOPULS STATUSTM



11. Advantages of StatUS™ Therapy

StatUS[™] ultrasound therapy is the newest development in the area of therapy and treatment comfort. The advantage of Sonopuls StatUS[™] devices by Enraf-Nonius is that you can apply ultrasound both in a stationary as well as a dynamic manner. And – depending on the set parameters – you can work thermally and non-thermally. Ultrasound therapy is highly suitable for successfully treating injuries to connective tissue (collagen) that is very dense (such as ligaments, tendons, fascia, joint capsules and scar tissue).



Dynamic application with a traditional transducer



Stationary application with the StatUS™ applicator

StatUS[™] therapy is also the best way to treat injuries where (delayed) tissue regeneration and restore of functions are in the foreground. StatUS[™] especially offers unprecedented advantages when it comes to treatments where the treatment time can be up to 20 minutes. Just as in the case when low-intensity ultrasound is used in order to speed up the consolidation time of fractures. Normally, such dynamic ultrasound application is timeconsuming and labor-intensive. The StatUS[™] takes this work out of your hands without it impacting effectiveness and/or efficiency! With StatUS[™] you work faster, smarter and more efficiently. In short:

Quick and easy	StatUS [™] therapy can be directly accessed and is easy to set up.
Saves time	With StatUS [™] as a "hands-free" form of therapy the therapist has his or her hands free during treatment, which results in a significant gain in time.
Less labor-intensive	Because the therapist no longer needs to hold the transducer, StatUS™ is the ideal therapy form for long(er)-term treatments.
More ease-of-use	More comfort for both the patient as well as the therapist.
Less cumbersome	Because the therapist no longer needs to hold the transducer the treatment is not cumbersome for the therapist.
Efficient	The transducer is always at the correct angle. The special gel pad forms to the body's contours. This combination ensures even and constant transmission of energy over the entire surface of the transducer.
Effective	The set treatment time is also the effective treatment time. The set capacity is effectively applied at that sites in the tissue.
Safe	Special modulation forms ensure that undesired intensity peaks in the ultrasound bundle are greatly reduced, that the chance of cavitation decreases and that the occurrence of "hot spots" is combated.

SONOPULS STATUSTM



12. Indications and Contraindications

The greatest effect of ultrasound occurs in that tissue where the energy is absorbed in an efficient manner. This is connective tissue (collagen) with a high degree of denseness such as ligaments, tendons, fascia, joint capsules and scar tissue.

The heat that is created in the tissue can make a positive contribution to decreasing pain and muscle tension and combating contracture.

In low doses, ultrasound also appears to be able to speed up the recovery of nerves ^[6, 7] and bone.

Ultrasound therapy is primarily applied in (sport) physical therapy, orthopedics, rehabilitation and veterinary medicine.

12.1. Indications

- Arthrosis/arthritis (in a non-active stage)
- Bursitis
- Capsulitis
- Tendinitis
- (Acute) ligament injuries
- Post-traumatic conditions after contusion, distortion, dislocation, fracture
- Scar tissue
- Peripheral nerve injuries: neuropathy, hernia of the nuclear pulp, phantom pain
- · Raynaud's syndrome, Bürger's disease, Sudeckse dystrophy
- Dupuytren's contracture

Indications for LIPUS

- Fractures (e.g. tibia, radius and wrist fractures)
- Delayed bone healing
- Stress fractures

SONOPULS STATUSTM

16.2. Contraindications

- · Contraindications such as they apply to heat therapy
- Epiphyseal discs (patient under 18 years of age)
- Area with a (malign) tumor
- Heart
- Brain
- Eyes
- Facial sinus
- Pregnancy (abdomen)
- Testicles
- Thorax (patients with a pacemaker)
- Diabetes mellitus
- Areas exhibiting decreased sensitivity
- Thrombophlebitis and varices
- Septic inflammations
- Close to metal implants and endoprostheses (LIPUS is possible here)
- Thyroid and lymph nodes in the neck



SONOPULS STATUSTM

Partner for Life

17. Protocols

PROTOCOL 1: HYPERTONIC TRAPEZIUS MUSCLE, UPPER PART

Tissue type:	Muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	1 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	1,00 - 1,50 W/cm ²	
Time:	10 min.	
Literature:	23, 29, 35, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121	

PROTOCOL 2: TRIGGERPOINTS IN RHOMBOID MUSCLE, UPPER AND LOWER PART

Tissue type:	Muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	1 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	off	
Intensity:	1,00 - 1,75 W/cm ²	
Time:	1 - 3 min. per TP	
Literature:	66, 67, 95, 96	

SONOPULS STATUSTM

ENRAF NONIUS

Partner for Lif

PROTOCOL 3: CERVICAL MYOFASCIAL PAIN SYNDROME

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 - 1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	20 - 10 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,00 - 1,50 W/cm ²
Time:	4 min. (2x paraverte- bral)
Literature:	19, 66, 67, 95, 96, 106, 107



PROTOCOL 4: DEGENERATIVE DISC DISEASE

Tissue type:	Joint/Disc
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,25 - 1,75 W/cm ²
Time:	5 min. (2x paraverte- bral)
Literature:	37, 51, 52

tatUS Therapy 2013_40



SONOPULS STATUSTM

PROTOCOL 5: SUBACROMIAL BURSITIS



Tissue type: Bursae ERA: 5 cm² **Frequency:** 3 MHz **Duty Cycle:** 80 - 50% **Modulations:** on Intensity: 0,75 - 1,25 W/cm² Time: 6 min. 72, 73 Literature:

PROTOCOL 6: SUBDELTOID BURSITIS

Tissue type:	Bursae
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,00 - 1,50 W/cm ²
Time:	5 min.
Literature:	72, 73



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 7: BICEPS BRACHII TENDINITIS, CAPUT LONGUM

Tissue type:	Tendon	
ERA:	5 cm ²	6.
Frequency:	3 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	1,00 - 1,50 W/cm ²	
Time:	8 min.	0:0 0:0
Literature:	23, 29, 35, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121	1

PROTOCOL 8: BICEPS BRACHII MUSCLE CALCIFICATION, CAPUT LONGUM

Tissue type:	Tendon
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	off
Intensity:	1,50 - 2,00 W/cm ²
Time:	8 min.
Literature:	23, 34, 54

40



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 9: PRONATOR TERES MUSCLE SYNDROME

Tissue type:	Muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	(9=)
Frequency:	1 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	1,50 - W/cm ²	
Time:	10 min.	
Literature:	51, 52, 81, 88	

PROTOCOL 10: MEDIAL EPICONDYLITIS HUMERI

Tissue type:	Tendon/Periost
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,50 - W/cm ²
Time:	5 min.
Literature:	81

statUS Therapy 2013_40



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Tissue type:	Tendon			
ERA:	5 cm ²	6-		
Frequency:	3 MHz	50		
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%			
Modulations:	on			5.
Intensity:	0,20 - 0,50 W/cm ²	alla.		
Time:	5 min.	-	14-	0.0 0.0
Literature:	29, 35, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121	Marine's		

PROTOCOL 12: TRIGGERPOINTS SUPRASPINATUS MUSCLE

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	off
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,00 W/cm ²
Time:	3 min. pro TP
Literature:	66, 67, 95, 96, 106, 107





SONOPULS STATUSTM



PROTOCOL 13: PIRIFORMIS MUSCLE SYNDROME, ENTRAPMENT NEUROPATHY

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,50 - 2,00 W/cm ²
Time:	8 min.
Literature:	10, 12



PROTOCOL 14: TROCHANTOR MAJOR BURSITIS

Tissue type:	Bursae
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,25 - 0,75 W/cm ²
Time:	5 min.
Literature:	72, 73



SONOPULS STATUS[™]

I ENRAF NONIUS

PROTOCOL 15: PARTIAL RUPTURE GASTROCNEMIUS MUSCLE, MEDIAL PART

Tissue type:	Muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	00
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,50 W/cm ²	
Time:	10 min.	
Literature:	51, 52, 81, 88, 97	1

Precise localization is pathology dependent

PROTOCOL 16: COLLATERAL LIGAMENT STRAIN INJURY, LATERAL / MEDIAL

Tissue type:	Ligament
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,25 - 0,75 W/cm ²
Time:	7 min.
Literature:	99, 102, 118



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 17: CORPUS HOFFA INFLAMMATION (HOFFITIS)

Tissue type:	Fat tissue	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	a Page
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,50 - 0,75 W/cm ²	-
Time:	8 min.	
Literature:	72, 73	

PROTOCOL 18: PATELLA TENDINITIS

itUS Therapy 2013_40

Tissue type:	Tendon	6
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,25 - 0,50 W/cm ²	
Time:	7 min.	
Literature:	29, 35, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121	



SONOPULS STATUSTM

ENRAF NONIUS

PROTOCOL 19: SCIATIC NEURALGIA (RADICULAIR ORIGIN)

Tissue type:	Nerve	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 - 1 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,75 W/cm ²	
Time:	5 min.	
Literature:	10, 12	

Precise localization is pathology dependent

PROTOCOL 20: MENISCUS LESION

Tissue type:	Connective tissue
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,75 W/cm ²
Time:	7 min.
Literature:	49

statUS Therapy 2013_40



SONOPULS STATUS[™]

PROTOCOL 21: KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS

Tissue type:	Joint
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,20 - 1,00 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	15, 32, 43, 58, 62, 63, 64, 65, 79, 80, 91, 103, 120, 122



Precise localization is pathology dependent

NIUS

PROTOCOL 22: KNEE SYNOVITIS / CAPSULITIS

Tissue type:	Joint
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,20 - 1,00 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	72, 73



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 23: PES ANSERINUS, INSERTION TENDINITIS

Tissue type:	Tendon/periost	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 MHz	1
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,50 - 0,75 W/cm ²	-
Time:	7 min.	
Literature:	99, 102	4



PROTOCOL 24: BAKER'S CYST

Tissue type:	Bursae
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,00 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	72, 73



3_Sonopuls StatUS Therapy 2013_40

SONOPULS STATUSTM

PROTOCOL 25: ILIOTIBIAL BAND SYNDROME

Tissue type:	Tendon		
ERA:	5 cm ²		
Frequency:	3 MHz		
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%		
Modulations:	on		0.0
ntensity:	0,25 - 0,50 W/cm ²	5	
Гіme:	7 min.		K
_iterature:	35, 94, 99, 102	-	~

PROTOCOL 26: TENSOR FASCIAE LATA SYNDROME

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,25 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	99, 102





SONOPULS STATUSTM



PROTOCOL 27: TIBIAL STRESSFRACTURE (LIPUS*)

BONE HEALING (LIPUS) – STRESS FRACTURE OF THE TIBIA (POSTERIOR)

A stress fracture occurs from repeated high doses of stress. Half of all stress fractures resulting from playing sports (tennis, football, volleyball, basketball, running) occur in the tibia. In addition, the foot (25%-35%) and the hip are also sensitive to stress fractures. The cause of the symptoms small fractures (hairline tears) of the bone tissue. This can lead to a real fracture later in the process. With fragile bones, such as with osteoporosis, these processes occur earlier. Due to the small tears, stress fractures are difficult to see on X-rays. Only when the fracture heals can you see that there is a fracture from the new bone formation. This often makes diagnosis of this injury difficult. With a stress fracture a tolerable, vague pain is initially felt that occurs gradually and primarily at the beginning of a sports activity. Due to this athletes often walk around for quite some time with these symptoms before a stress fracture is ascertained. In the second stage the pain becomes sharper and worse. In the third stage there is even pain when the body is at rest and in some cases there is swelling at the site of the pain. The pain symptoms can manifest themselves in various locations; in the tibia this occurs on the interior, while in the foot this occurs in the forefoot and in the hip in the groin.

Tissue type:	Bone
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 10 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,05 - W/cm ²
Time:	20 min. (daily)
Literature:	18, 26, 39, 101, 108

PROTOCOL 27: TIBIAL STRESSFRACTURE (LIPUS*)



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 28: PLANTAR FASCIITIS

Tissue type:	Tendon	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 MHz	-44-
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,50 - 1,25 W/cm ²	
Time:	8 min.	
Literature:	29, 35, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121	



PROTOCOL 29: MORTON'S NEURALGIA

Tissue type:	Nerve
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,25 - 0,50 W/cm ²
Time:	8 min.
Literature:	10,12



SONOPULS STATUSTM



PROTOCOL 30: ANKLE JOINT STRAIN INJURY (TALOFIBULAR ANTERIOR LIGAMENT)

Tissue type:	Tendon
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,20 - 0,75 W/cm ²
Time:	6 min. (bi malleolair)
Literature:	99, 102, 118



PROTOCOL 31: TALO CRURAL ARTHROSIS

Joint
5 cm ²
1 MHz
80 - 50%
on
1,00 - 1,50 W/cm ²
10 min.
15, 32, 43, 58, 62, 63, 64 65, 79, 80, 91, 103, 120,122



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 32: SUBTALAR ARTHROSIS

Tissue type:	Joint
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,00 - 1,50 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	15, 32, 43, 58, 62, 63, 64, 65, 79, 80, 91, 103, 120,122



PROTOCOL 33: CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME

Tissue type:	Tendon
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 - 3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,50 - 0,80 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 13, 16, 24, 44, 60, 83, 89

atUS Therapy 2013_40



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 34: WRIST FRACTURE

Tissue type:	Bone
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	30 mW/cm ²
Time:	20 min.
Literature:	3, 11, 39, 59, 71



PROTOCOL 35: DUPUYTREN'S CONTRACTURE (GRADES 1. 2.)

Tissue type:	Tendon
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 10 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,25 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	29, 35, 45, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121



SONOPULS STATUSTM



PROTOCOL 36: HAEMATOMA

Tissue type:	Subcutaneous / muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	4 E
Frequency:	3 - 1 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,75 W/cm ²	1 Port
Time:	5 - 10 min.	Sup Sup
Literature:	51, 52, 78, 81, 88	

Precise localization is pathology dependent

PROTOCOL 37: TEMPOROMANDIBULAR DISORDER (TMD)

Tissue type:	Joint / Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,25 - 0,50 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	5, 30, 36, 52, 123

atUS Therapy 2013 40



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 38: QUADRATUS LUMBORUM MUSCLE MYALGIA

Tissue type:	Muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	1 MHz	AF C
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	
Modulations:	on	1 - 9
Intensity:	1,50 - 2,00 W/cm ²	
Time:	10 min.	
Literature:	37, 81, 97	

Precise localization is pathology dependent

PROTOCOL 39: QUADRICEPS FEMORIS MUSCLE CONTUSION

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,25 - 1,75 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	69, 76, 81, 97, 100, 116



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 40: ADDUCTOR MUSCLE SYNDROME

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,25 - 1,75 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	69, 76, 81, 97, 100, 116



PROTOCOL 41: HAMSTRING MUSCLES, PARTIAL RUPTURE

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,25 - 1,75 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	69, 76, 81, 97, 100, 116



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 42: ERECTOR SPINAE MUSCLE, STRAIN INJURY

Tissue type:	Muscle	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	1 MHz	100 100 DQ P
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	1,25 - 1,75 W/cm ²	- 6
Time:	8 min.	
Literature:	69, 76, 81, 97, 100, 116	

Precise localization is pathology dependent

PROTOCOL 43: SHIN SPLINTS

40

Tissue type:	Tendon/periost
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,25 - 0,75 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	72, 73



SONOPULS STATUSTM

PROTOCOL 44: COMPARTMENT SYNDROME



Precise localization is pathology dependent

NIUS

PROTOCOL 45: ABDOMINAL MUSCLE, PARTIAL RUPTURE

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 - 3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,50 - 1,75 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	42, 61, 69, 76, 81, 97 100, 116



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	100 - 80%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	2,00 - W/cm ²
Time:	8 min.
Literature:	23, 34, 54





Partner for Life

SONOPULS STATUSTM



PROTOCOL 47 - 50: LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS

Stationary application of Ultrasound for Epicondylitis Lateralis Humeri

Etiology

A tennis elbow is an injury where pain is felt on and around the epicondylus lateralis humeri as a result of excessive use of the radial wrist extensors (synonyms: surménage, occupational overuse syndrome, repetitive strain injuries/RSI). Direct trauma of the elbow is rarely the cause of this injury. The symptoms occur as a result of partial ruptures of the extensor carpi radialis longus and brevis, often with a secon–dary reaction of the periost. The term tennis elbow was coined in view of the fact that this injury is seen in tennis players, although the symptomatology is also seen in people other than tennis players.

Tennis elbow typically occurs in people aged 30 to 60; the lesion is rare in people younger than 30. The injury is classified as a "self-limiting disease," and has a recovery period of 8-13 months without any form of intervention.

Clinical Manifestation

The clinical picture is very typical. There is pressure pain at the site of the origin of the above-mentioned extensors and contraction against resistance (active supination and dorsal reflection of the wrist) causes major pain. The pain radiates from the forearm, sometimes the middle and ring fingers also hurt. Sometimes the pain radiates to proximal structures. There is no restriction in movement of the art. cubiti.



SONOPULS STATUSTM



Type Classification

Although regarded by some as partly arbitrary, a classification into four types is employed. Such a classification offers more possibilities for determining an adequate dosing strategy of ultrasound applications than has often been assumed (see figure 15).



Figure 15: Classification into "types" with epicondylitis lateralis humeri

Type I Figure 15, position 1

Origin of the m. extensor carpi radialis longus (tenoperiosteal), proximal from the epicondylus lateralis and ventral from the humerus. During examination and treatment the elbow is positioned at 90-degree flexion and supination.

Type II Figure 15, position 2

Origin of the m. extensor carpi radialis brevis (tenoperiosteal), directly on the lateral epicondyle (so not on the lateral aspect of the epicondyle). During examination and treatment the elbow is positioned at 90-degree flexion and supination.

Type III Figure 15, position 3

Tendon of the m. extensor carpi radialis brevis (tendinous), just proximal from the caput radii. During examination and treatment the elbow is positioned at 45-degree flexion and pronation.

Type IV Figure 15, position 4

Muscle-tendon transition and proximal part of the m. extensor carpi radialis brevis (tendinomyogenous). During examination and treatment the elbow is positioned at 90-degree flexion and supination.

48

SONOPULS STATUSTM



Therapeutic Application of Ultrasound

Ultrasound therapy can be applied by means of two application methods:

- Dynamic
- Stationary (static)

Dynamic - or static application of ultrasound?

In the dynamic application technique, the ultrasound transducer is slowly moved over a relatively sizeable tissue surface while with stationary application the transducer is focused on a confined location. In the dynamic treatment moving the ultrasound transducer levels intensity peaks in the ultrasound bundle. In the static application method the set intensity (W/cm²) is varied over a certain range, which prevents a dangerous peak intensity from building up. This enables localized ultrasound treatment whereby the transducer is fixated at one specific tissue position with the aid of a vacuum pump.

Reasons for choosing Ultrasound

A multitude of physical therapy treatment options is reported in the literature. Depending on the type, stage and topicality of the injury, one intervention is sometimes preferred over another. Especially due to the often subacute and chronic character of the injury, where pain as well as metabolic changes play a role, ultrasound treatment should be considered because, in addition to indirect pain alleviation, the local tissue metabolism is affected by ultrasound application.

Dosing Strategy

Because the difference in tissue classification and localization results in differences in absorption, penetration, distribution, breaking and reflection, targeted differentiation of ultrasound dosing is necessary. The dosing parameters in the table below provide insight into such a targeted dosing strategy.

Epicondylitis Lat. Hum.	Туре І	Туре II	Type III	Type IV
Tissue type	tenoperiosteal	tenoperiosteal	Tendinous	Tendinomyog- enous
ERA	5,0 cm ²	5,0 cm ²	5,0 cm ²	5,0 cm ²
Frequency	3 MHz	3 MHz	1 MHz	1 MHz
Duty Cycle	20%	20%	20%	20%
Modulations	on	on	on	on
Intensity	0,5 W/cm ²	0,5 W/cm ²	0,75 – 1,0 W/ cm ²	1,0 - 1,5 W/cm ²
Time	5 min	5 min	8 min	12 min

Table 2: Differentiation of ultrasound dosing parameters for various tissue types in the case of epicondylitis lateralis humeri

SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 47: LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS, TYPE I

Tissue type:	Tenoperiostial
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,50 - W/cm ²
Time:	5 min.
Literature:	2, 31, 38, 81 98, 101, 105



PROTOCOL 48: LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS, TYPE II

Tissue type:	Tenoperiostial
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	3 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,50 - W/cm ²
Time:	5 min.
Literature:	2, 31, 38, 81 98, 101, 105





3_Sonopuls StatUS Therapy 2013_40

SONOPULS STATUSTM



PROTOCOL 49: LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS, TYPE III

Tissue type:	Tendon	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	1 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%	-0
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,00 W/cm ²	
Time:	8 min.	
Literature:	2, 31, 38, 81 98, 101, 105	

PROTOCOL 50: LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS, TYPE IV

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	80 - 50%
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,50 W/cm ²
Time:	8 min.
Literature:	2, 31, 38, 81 98, 101, 105





SONOPULS STATUSTM



Partner for Life

PROTOCOL 51: ACHILLES TENDON, PARTIAL RUPTURE

Tissue type:	Tendon	
ERA:	5 cm ²	
Frequency:	3 MHz	
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %	
Modulations:	on	
Intensity:	0,75 - 1,25 W/cm ²	
Time:	10 min.	
Literature:	29, 35, 47, 74, 81, 94, 105, 121	

PROTOCOL 52: BICEPS BRACHII MUSCLE, RUPTURE

Tissue type:	Muscle
ERA:	5 cm ²
Frequency:	1 MHz
Duty Cycle:	50 - 20 %
Modulations:	on
Intensity:	1,25 - 1,50 W/cm ²
Time:	10 min.
Literature:	51, 52, 81,88, 97

StatUS Therapy 2013_40



52

SONOPULS STATUSTM



18. Literature

- 1 Arden NI Jr, Janes JM, Herrick JF. Ultrasonic energy and defects in bone. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1957;39:394-402.
- 2 Bakhtiary AH, Rashidy-Pour A. Ultrasound and laser therapy in the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. Aust J Physiother. 2004; 50(3):147-51.
- 3 Basso O, Pike JM. The effect of low frequency, long-wave ultrasound therapy on joint mobility and rehabilitation after wrist fracture. J Hand Surg Br 1998; 23:136-9.
- 4 Baysal O, Altay Z, Ozcan C, Ertem K, Yologlu S, Kayhan A. Comparison of three conservative treatment protocols in carpal tunnel syndrome. Int J Clin Pract. 2006 Jul;60(7):820-8
- 5 Bender T, Gidófalvi E. the effect of ultrasonic therapy in rheumatoid arthritis of the temporomandibular joint. Fogorv Sz. 1991;84(8):229-32
- 6 Bilgici A, Ulusoy H, Kuru O, Canturk F. The comparison of ultrasound treatment and local steroid injection plus splinting in the carpal tunnel syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Bratisl Lek Listy. 2010;111(12):659-65.
- 7 Brand JC, et al. Does pulsed low intensity ultrasound allow early return to normal activities when treating stress fractures? Iowa Orthopaedic Journal 1999;19:26-30.
- 8 Busse JW, et al. The effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound therapy on time to fracture healing: A meta-analysis. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2002; 166:437-441.
- 9 Cambier D, D'Herde K, Witvrouw E, Beck M, Soenens S, Vanderstraeten G. Therapeutic ultrasound: temperature increase at different depths by different modes in a human cadaver. J Rehabil Med. 2001 Sep;33(5):212-5.
- 10 Chang CJ, Hsu SH, Lin FT, Chang H, Chang CS, Low-intensity-ultrasound-accelerated nerve regeneration using cell-seeded poly(D,L-lactic acid-co-glycolic acid) conduits: an in vivo and in vitro study. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. (2005) Oct;75(1):99-107
- 11 Cook SD, Ryaby JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Heckman JD, Kristiansen TK. Acceleration of tibia and distal radius fracture healing in patients who smoke. Clin Orthop 1997; 337: 198-207.
- 12 Crisci AR, Ferreira AL, Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound accelerates the regeneration of the sciatic nerve after neurotomy in rats. Ultrasound Med Biol. (2002) Oct;28(10): 1335-41
- 13 Dakowicz A, Latosiewicz R. The value of iontophoresis combined with ultrasound in patients with the carpal tunnel syndrome. Rocz Akad Med Bialymst. 2005;50 Suppl 1:196-8.
- 14 Demmink JH, Helders PJM, Hobæk H, Enwemeka C. The variation of heating depth with therapeutic ultrasound frequency in physiotherapy. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2003 Jan; 29(1):113-118.
- 15 Denz, S, Topuz O, Atalay NS, Sarsan A et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of pulsed and continuous diclophenac phonophoresis in treatment of knee ostheoarthritis. J Phys Ther Sci. 2009;21:331-6
- 16 Dincer U, Cakar E, Kiralp MZ, Kilac H, Dursun H. The effectiveness of conservative treatments of carpal tunnel syndrome: splinting, ultrasound, and low-level laser therapies. Photomed Laser Surg. 2009 Feb;27(1):119-25.
- 17 Duarte LR. The stimulation of bone growth by ultrasound. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1983;101:153-9.
- 18 Dudda M, Hauser J, Muhr G, Esenwein SA. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound as a useful adjuvant during distraction osteogenesis: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. J Trauma. 2011 Nov;71(5):1376-80.
- 19 Dundar, U, Solak O, Samli F, Kavuncu V. Effectiveness of ultrasound therapy in cervical myofascial pain syndrome: a double blind placebo-controlled study. Turk J Rheumathol. 2010;25:110-5
- 20 Dyson M, Brookes M. Stimulation of bone repair by ultrasound. Ultrasound Med Biol 1983;2(Suppl):s61-6.
- 21 Dyson M. Mechanisms involved in therapeutic ultrasound. Physiotherapy. 1987; 73 116-20.



- 22 Dyson M. Therapeutic applications of ultrasound. In: Nyborg WL Ziskin MC. Biological effects of ultrasound. New York: Churchill Livingstone 1985: 121-133.
- 23 Ebenbichler GR, Erdogmus CB, Resch KL, Funovics MA et al. Ultrasound therapy for calcific tendinitis of the shoulder. The New England Journal of Medicine 2012;340(20):1533-8.
- 24 Ebenbichler GR, Resch KL, Nicolakis P, Wiesinger GF, Uhl F, Ghanem AH, Fialka V. Ultrasound treatment for treating the carpal tunnel syndrome: randomized "sham" controlled trial. BMJ. 1998 Mar 7; 316(7133):731-5.
- 25 Ebrahimi S, Abbasnia K, Motealleh A, Kooroshfard N, Kamali F, Ghaffarinezhad F. Effect of lidocaine phonophoresis on sensory blockade: pulsed or continuous mode of therapeutic ultrasound? Physiotherapy. 2012 Mar; 98(1):57-63.
- 26 El-Mowafi H, Mohsen M. The effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on callus maturation in tibial distraction osteogenesis. Int Orthop. 2005 Apr;29(2):121-4. Epub 2005 Feb 1.
- 27 Emami A, Petrén-Mallmin M, Larsson S. No effect of low-intensity ultrasound on healing time of intramedullary fixed tibial fractures. J Orthop Trauma 1999;13:252-7.
- 28 Ennis, W.J., Valdez W., Gainer M. Meneses P. Evaluation of clinical effectiveness of MIST ultra sound therapy for the healing of chronic wounds. Advances in skin and wound care 2006;19:437-46
- 29 Enwemeka CS. The effects of therapeutic ultrasound on tendon healing. A biomechanical study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 1989 Dec;68(6):283-7.
- 30 Erickson RI. Ultrasound: a usefull adjunct in temporomandibular therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1964;18:176-9
- 31 Everbach EC, Francis CW. Cavitational mechanisms in ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis at 1 MHz. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2000 Sep;26(7):1153-60.
- 32 F Tascioglu1, S Kuzgun2, O Armagan3, G Ogutler4. Short-term Effectiveness of Ultrasound Therapy in Knee Osteoarthritis J Int Med Res 2010;38 (4):1233-1242
- 33 Frizzell, L. A. and F. Dunn (1982). Biophysics of ultrasound. Therapeutic Heat and Cold. J. Lehmann. Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins.
- 34 Gerold R. Ebenbichler, M.D., Celal B. Erdogmus, M.D., Karl L. Resch, M.D., Martin A. Ultrasound Therapy for Calcific Tendinitis of the Shoulder Engl J Med 1999; 340:1533-1538May 20, 1999
- 35 Giombini A, Di Cesare A, Casciello G, Sorrenti D, Dragoni S, Gabriele P. Hyperthermia at 434 MHz in the treatment of overuse sport tendinopathies: a randomised controlled clinical trial. Int J Sports Med. 2002 Apr;23(3):207-11
- 36 Gray RJ, Quayle AA, Hall CA, Schofield MA. Physiotherapy in the treatment of temporomandibular joint disorders: a comparative study of four treatment methods. Br Dent J.1994;176(7);257-61
- 37 Grubisic F, Grazio S, Jajic T, Nemcic T. Therapeutic ultrasound in chronic low back pain treatment. Journal Article: Reumatizam 02/2006; 53(1):18-21.
- 38 Hadjiargyrou M, McLeod K, Ryaby JP, Rubin C. Enhancement of fracture healing by low intensity ultrasound. Clin Orthop 1998; 355(Suppl):s216-29.
- 39 Hanneman PF et al. The clinical and radiological outcome of pulsed electromagnetic field treatment for acute scaphoid fractures: a double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. J. Bone Joint Surg Br. 2012;94:1403-8
- 40 Heckman JD, Ryaby JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Kilcoyne RF. Acceleration of tibial fracture-healing by non-invasive, low-intensity pulsed ultrasound. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 1994; 76A:26-34.
- 41 Heckman JD, Sarasohn-Kahn J. The economics of treating tibia fractures. The cost of delayed unions. Bull Hosp Joint Dis 1997;56:63-72.
- 42 Hough DR, Schneider HE, Rectus sheath hematoma with pain relieve after ultrasound: case report. Mil Med 1983;148(11):885-6
- 43 Huang MH, Lin YS, Lee CL, Yang RC. Use of ultrasound to increase effectiveness of isokinetic exercise for knee osteoarthritis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005 Aug;86(8):1545-51.



- 44 Huisstede BM, Hoogvliet P, Randsdorp MS, Glerum S, van Middelkoop M, Koes BW. Carpal tunnel syndrome. Part I: effectiveness of nonsurgical treatments--a systematic review. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010 Jul;91(7):981-1004.
- 45 Hurst LC, Badalamente MA. Non operative treatment of Dupuytren's disease. Hand Clin. 1999;15(1):97-107.
- 46 Jensen JE. Stress fracture in the world class athlete: a case study. Med Sci Sports Excerc 1998; 30: 783-787.
- 47 Jeremias Júnior SL, Camanho GL, Bassit AC, Forgas A, Ingham SJ, Abdalla RJ. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound accelerates healing in rat calcaneus tendon injuries. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2011 Jul;41(7):526-31. Epub 2011 Feb 18.
- 48 Josza L, Kannus P. Human tendons. Anatomy, physiology and pathology. Champaign, IL, 1997.
- 49 Julie A Muché, MDa, ,Efficacy of therapeutic ultrasound treatment of a meniscus tear in a severely disabled patient: a case report1 Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003;84:1558–9
- 50 Kahn J, editor. Ultrasound. In: Principles and practice of electrotherapy. 4th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 2000. p. 49-68.
- 51 Khanna A, Nelmes RTC, Gougoulias N, Maffulli N et al. the effects of LIPUS on soft tissue healing: a review of the literature. British Medical Bulletin 2009;89:169-82
- 52 Khanna A†, Nelmes RTC, Gougoulias N, Maffulli* N and Gray J* The effects of LIPUS on soft-tissue healing: a review of literature. British Medical Bulletin 2009; 89: 169–182 DOI:10.1093/bmb/ldn040
- 53 Kim TY, Jung DI, Kim YI, Yang JH, Shin SC. Anesthetic effects of lidocaine hydrochloride gel using low frequency ultrasound of 0.5 MHz. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2007;10(1):1-8.
- 54 Koji Shomoto1), Katsuhiko Takatori1), Shinichiro Morishita1), Koji Nagino1), Waka. Effects of Ultrasound Therapy on Calcificated Tendinitis of the Shoulder. J Jpn Phys Ther Assoc Vol. 5: 7-11, (2002).
- 55 Korstjens CM, Nolte PA, Klein-Nulend J, Albers GHR, Burger EH. De invloed van ultrageluid van lage intensiteit op het bot. Een overzicht. Ned Tijdschr Osteoporose en andere Botziekten 2002; 6(2): 27-31.
- 56 Korstjens CM, Nolte PA, Klein-Nulend J, Albers GHR, Burger EH. Effecten van lage-intensiteit ultrageluid op bot. Perspectieven voor de tandheelkunde? Ned Tijdschr Tandheelkd 2002; 109: 485-489.
- 57 Kozanoglu E, Basaran S, Guzel R, Guler-Uysal F.Short term efficacy of ibuprofen phonophoresis versus continuous ultrasound therapy in knee osteoarthritis. Swiss Med Wkly 2003;133:333–338
- 58 Kozanoglu E, Basaran S, Guzel, R Guler-Uysal F. Short term efficacy of Ibuprofen and phonophoresis versis continuous ultrasound therapy in knee Osteo arthritis. Swiss Med WKLY 2003;133:333-8
- 59 Kristiansen TK, Ryaby JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Roe LR. Accelerated healing of distal radius fractures with the use of specific, low-intensity ultrasound. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 1997; 79A:61-973.
- 60 Kwolek A, Zwolinska J. Ortop Traumatol Rehabil. 2011 Dec 30;13(6):555-64. Immediate and long-term effects of selected physiotherapy methods in patients with carpal tunnel syndrome. (Article in English, Polish)
- 61 Leung MC, Ng GY, Yip KK. Effect of ultrasound on acute inflammation of transected medial collateral ligaments. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2004 Jun;85(6):963-6.
- 62 Levent Özgönenel, Ebru Aytekin, Gulis Durmusoğlu. A Double-Blind Trial of Clinical Effects of Therapeutic Ultrasound in Knee Osteoarthritis Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology, Volume 35, Issue 1, January 2009, Pages 44–49
- 63 Loyola-Sánchez A, Richardson J, Beattie KA, Otero-Fuentes C, Adachi JD, MacIntyre NJ. Effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on the cartilage repair in people with mild to moderate knee osteoarthritis: a double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2012 Jan;93(1):35-42.
- 64 Loyola-Sánchez A, Richardson J, MacIntyre NJ. Efficacy of ultrasound therapy for the management of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2010 Sep;18(9):1117-26. Epub 2010 Jul 14.



- 65 Loyola-Sánchez A, Richardson J, MacIntyre NJ. Efficacy of ultrasoundtherapy for the management of knee osteoarthritis:systematic review with meta-analysis Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Volume 18, Issue 9, September 2010, Pages 1117–1126
- 66 Majlesi J, Unalan H. Effect of treatment on trigger points.Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2010 Oct;14(5):353-60.
- 67 Majlesi J, Unalan H. High-power pain threshold ultrasound technique in the treatment of active myofascial trigger points: a randomized, double-blind, case-control study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2004 May;85(5):833-6.
- 68 Malizos KN, et al., Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound for bone healing: an overview. Injury. (2006) Apr;37 Suppl 1:S56-62. Epub 2006 Apr 3. Review.
- 69 Markert, C. D., M. A. Merrick, et al. (2005). "Nonthermal ultrasound and exercise in skeletal muscle regeneration." Arch Phys Med Rehabil 86(7): 1304-10.
- 70 Mayr E, Frankel V, Rüter A. Ultrasound: An alternative healing method for nonunions? Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery 2000; 120:1-8.
- 71 Mayr E, Rudzki MM, Rudzki M, Borchardt B, Haüsser H, Rüter A. Beschleunigt niedrig intensiver, gepulster Ultraschall die Heilung von Skaphoidfrakturen? Handchirurgie, Mikrochirurgie, Plastiche Chirurgie 2000; 32:115-122.
- 72 Nakamura T, Fujihara S, Yamamoto-Nagata K, Katsura T, Inubushi T, Tanaka E. Effects of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on the expression and activity of hyaluronan synthase and hyaluronidase in IL-1_-stimulated synovial cells. Ann Biomed Eng. 2010 Nov;38(11):3363-70. Epub 2010 Jun 23.
- 73 Nakamura T, Fujihara S, Yamamoto-Nagata K, Katsura T, Inubushi T, Tanaka E. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound reduces the inflammatory activity of synovitis. Ann Biomed Eng. 2011 Dec;39(12):2964-71. Epub 2011 Sep 22.
- 74 Ng CO, Ng GY, See EK, Leung MC. Therapeutic ultrasound improves strength of Achilles tendon repair in rats. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2003 Oct;29(10):1501-6.
- 75 Nolte PA, Windt ESM De, Maas M, Dijk CN Van, Albers GHR. Het effect van ultrageluid op de botheling bij osteotomieën van de onderste extremiteit. Ned Tijdschr Traumat 1998; 6(5): 120-123.
- 76 Nussbaum E. The influence of ultrasound on healing tissues. J Hand Ther 1998;11:140-7.
- 77 Nussbaum, E. L. (1997). "Ultrasound: to heat or not to heat that is the question." Physical Therapy Reviews 2: 59-72.
- 78 Oakley EM. Evidence for effectiveness of ultrasound treatment in physical medicine. Br. J. Cancer 1982;45Suppl.V:233
- 79 Ozgönenel L, Aytekin E, Durmusoglu G. A double-blind trial of clinical effects of therapeutic ultrasound in knee osteoarthritis. Med Biol. 2009 Jan;35(1):44-9. Epub 2008 Oct 2.
- 80 Peng-fei Yang MM1, Dong Li MM2, Shi-mo Zhang MM2, Qing Wu MM3, Jin Tang MM1,Liang-ku Huang MM1, Wei Liu MM1, Xi-dong Xu MM1, Shi-rong Chen MD1,*Efficacy of ultrasound in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee Article first published online: 25 JUL 2011DOI: 10.1111/j.1757 7861.2011.00144.x Orthopaedic Surgery, Volume 3, Issue 3, pages 181–187, August 2011
- 81 Phonophoresis versus ultrasound in the treatment of common musculoskeletal conditions. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 30, No. 9, pp. 1349-1355, 1998.
- 82 Pilla AA, Mont MA, Nasser PR, Khan SA, Figueiredo M, Kaufman JJ, et al. Non-invasive low-intensity pulsed ultrasound accelerates bone healing in the rabbit. J Orthop Trauma 1990;4:246-53.
- 83 Piravej K, Boonhong J. Effect of ultrasound thermotherapy in mild to moderate carpal tunnel syndrome. J Med Assoc Thai. 2004 Sep;87 Suppl 2:S100-6.
- 84 Pope, G. D., S. P. Mockett, et al. (1995). "A survey of electrotherapeutic modalities: ownership and use in the NHS in England." Physiotherapy 81(2): 82-91.
- 85 Prentice, W.E. Therapeutic Modalities in Sports medicine. 3rd edition, St.Louis, Mosby, 1994.



- 86 Robertson, V. J. and K. G. Baker (2001). "A review of therapeutic ultrasound: effectiveness studies." 81(7): 1339-1350.
- 87 Robertson, V.J. (2002). "Dosage and treatment response in randomised clinical trials of therapeutic ultrasound." Physical Therapy in Sport 3: 124-133.
- 88 Robertson, Valma J; Baker, Kerry G. A Review of Therapeutic Ultrasound: Effectiveness Studies Journal of Women's Health Physical Therapy:September/December 2010 - Volume 34 - Issue 3 - p 99–110doi: 10.1097/JWH.0b013e318200897a
- 89 Ronday HK, Huizinga TWJ. Ultrageluid als behandeling voor het carpaletunnelsyndroom. Bed Tijdschr Geneeskd. 1998;142(46):2534
- 90 Rubin C, Bolander M, Ryaby JP, Hadjiargyrou M. The use of low intensity ultrasound to accelerate the healing of fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2001; 83-A(2): 259-270.
- 91 Rutjes AW, Nüesch E, Sterchi R, Jüni P. Therapeutic ultrasound for osteoarthritis of the knee or hip. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010 Jan 20;(1):CD003132.
- 92 Rutten S, Nolte PA, Guit GL, Bouman DE, Albers GH, Use of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound for posttraumatic nonunions of the tibia: a review of patients treated in the Netherlands. J Trauma. (2007) Apr;62(4):902-8
- 93 Ryaby JP, Mathew J, Duarte-Alves P. Low intensity pulsed ultrasound affects adenylate cyclase and TGF-b synthesis in osteoblastic cells. Trans Orthop Res Soc 1992; 17: 590.
- 94 Sai-Chuen Fu, MPhil, Wai-Ting Shum, MSc, Leung-Kim Hung,* MD,Margaret Wan-Nar Wong, MBBS, Ling Qin, PhD, and Kai-Ming Chan, MD. Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound on Tendon Healing A Study of the Effect of Treatment Duration and Treatment Initiation. The American Journal of Sports Medicine, Vol. 36, No. 9. DOI: 10.1177/0363546508318193 © 2008 American Orthopaedic Society for Sports-Medicine
- 95 Saime Ay, Sebnem Koldas Dogan, Deniz Evcik, Ozgün Cakmak Baser. Comparison the efficacy of phonophoresis and ultrasound therapy in myofascial pain syndrome. Rheumatology International (2011)Volume: 31, Issue: 9, Pages: 1203-1208 PubMed: 20354859
- 96 Sarrafzadeh J, Ahmadi A, Yassin M. The effects of pressure release, phonophoresis of hydrocortisone, and ultrasound on upper trapezius latent myofascial trigger point. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2012 Jan;93(1):72-7. Epub 2011 Oct 7.
- 97 Shu B, Yang Z, Li X, Zhang LQ. Effect of different intensity pulsed ultrasound on the restoration of rat skeletal muscle contusion. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2012 Mar;62(2):329-36.
- 98 Smidt N, Assendelft WJ, Arola H, Malmivaara A, Greens S, Buchbinder R, van der Windt, DA, Bouter LM. Effectiveness of physiotherapy for lateral epicondylitis: a systematic review. Ann Med. 2003; 35(1):51-62.
- 99 Sparrow, K. J., S. D. Finucane, et al. (2005). "The effects of low-intensity ultrasound on medial collateral ligament healing in the rabbit model." Am J Sports Med 33(7): 1048-56.
- 100 Speed C.A. Therapeutic Ultrasound in Soft Tissue Lesions. Rheumatology 2001; 40: 1331-1336.
- 101 Tajali, S.B., Houghton P., Mac Dermid J.C., Grewal R. Effects of low intensity pulsed ultrasound therapy on fracture healing. Ultrasound, 2012:349-!!!!!
- 102 Takakura Y, Matsui N. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound enhances early healing of medial collateral ligament injuries in rats. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 2002; 21:283-288.
- 103 Tascioglu F, Kuzgun S, Armagan O, Ogutler G. Short-term effectiveness of ultrasound therapy in knee osteoarthritis. J Int Med Res. 2010 Jul-Aug;38(4):1233-42.
- 104 ter Haar, G. (1999). "Therapeutic Ultrasound." Eur J Ultrasound 9: 3-9.
- 105 Tsai WC, Tang ST, Liang FC. Effect of therapeutic ultrasound on tendons. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2011 Dec;90(12):1068-73.



- 106 Ümit Dündar, Özlem Solak, Fatma Samlı, Vural Kavuncu Effectiveness of Ultrasound Therapy in Cervical Myofascial Pain Syndrome: 2010, Cilt 25, Sayı 3, Sayfa(lar) 110-115 DOI: 10.5152/tjr.2010.13
- 107 Ümit Dündar, Özlem Solak, Fatma Samlı, Vural Kavuncu. Effectiveness of Ultrasound Therapy in Cervical Myofascial Pain Syndrome: A Double Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study Kocatepe Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Fiziksel Tıp ve Rehabilitasyon Anabilim Dalı,Afyonkarahisar, Turk J Rheumatol 2010; 25: 110-5
- 108 Walker NA, Denegar CR, Preische J.Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound and pulsed electromagnetic field in the treatment of tibial fractures: a systematic review. J Athl Train. 2007 Oct-Dec;42(4):530-5.
- 109 Ward AR, Robertson VJ. Comparison of heating of nonliving soft tissue produced by 45 kHz and 1 MHz frequency ultrasound machines. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1996;23:258–266.
- 110 Warden SJ, Bennell KL, McMeeken JM, Wark JD. Acceleration of fresh fracture repair using the Sonic Accelerated Fracture Healing System (SAFHS): a review. Calcif Tissue Int 2000;66:157-63.
- 111 Warden SJ, Fuchs RK, Kessler CK, Avin KG, Cardinal RE, Stewart RL, Ultrasound produced by a conventional therapeutic ultrasound unit accelerates fracture repair. Phys Ther. (2006) Aug;86(8):1118-27
- 112 Warden SJ. A new direction for ultrasound therapy in sports medicine. Sports Medicine 2003;33:95-107.
- 113 Warden SJ. McMeeken JM. Ultrasound usage and dosage in sports physiotherapy. Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology 2002;28: 1075-1080.
- 114 Watson, T. (2000). "The role of electrotherapy in contemporary physiotherapy practice." Man Ther 5(3): 132-41.
- 115 Wells PNT. Surgical applications of ultrasound. In: Nyborg WL, Ziskin MC. Biological effects of ultrasound. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1985: 121-133.
- 116 Wilkin, L. D., M. A. Merrick, et al. (2004). "Influence of therapeutic ultrasound on skeletal muscle regeneration following blunt contusion." Int. J. Sports Med. 25(1): 73-77.
- 117 William J. Ennis DO, Wesley Valdes DO, Marianne Gainer RN, Patricio Meneses PhD. Evaluation of Clinical Effectiveness of MIST Ultrasound Therapy for the Healing of Chronic Wounds The Journal for Prevention and HealingOctober 2006 Volume 19 Number 8 Pages 437 – 446
- 118 Windt DAWM Van der, Heijden GJMG Van der, Van den Berg SGM, Ter Riet G, De Winter, AF, Bouter LM. Therapeutic ultrasound for acute ankle sprains. The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2006. Oxford: Update
- 119 Xavier CAM, Duarte LR. Treatment of nonunions by ultrasonic stimulation: first clinical applications. Meeting of the Latin-American Orthopedic Association, in conjunction with the 54th annual meeting of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons; San Francisco; 1987 Jan 25.
- 120 Yang PF, Li D, Zhang SM, Wu Q, Tang J, Huang LK, Liu W, Xu XD, Chen SR. Efficacy of ultrasound in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Orthop Surg. 2011 Aug;3(3):181-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1757-7861.2011.00144.x.
- 121 Yeung CK, Guo X, Ng YF. Pulsed ultrasound treatment accelerates the repair of Achilles tendon rupture in rats. J Orthop Res. 2006 Feb;24(2):193-201.
- 122 Zeng D, Luo Q, Lin H, Zhang J, He C. The effect of therapeutic ultrasound to apoptosis of chondrocyte and caspase-3 and caspase-8 expression in rabbit surgery-induced model of knee osteoarthritis. Rheumatol Int. 2011 Dec 14. [Epub ahead of print]
- 123 Zhou FH, Zhao HY. Acupuncture and ultrasound therapy for temporomandibular disorders. DiYi Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao 2004;24(6):720-1

